Degradation of low-density polyethylene by a novel strain of bacteria isolated from the plastisphere of marine ecosystems
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES: Low-density polyethylene is one of the dominant recalcitrant plastic pollutants in the ocean, thus causing complicated problems. Biodegradation is an efficient, environmentally friendly, and sustainable option to overcome these problems. This study aims to quantitatively and qualitatively analyze the ability of marine bacterial isolates to degrade low-density polyethylene plastic.

METHODS: Bacteria were isolated from plastic samples using serial dilution technique and inoculated on media containing low-density polyethylene powder. Bacterial degradation ability was analyzed quantitatively based on weight loss percentage and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy values, as well as qualitatively based on changes in physical and chemical structures using Scanning Electron Microscopy and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy. Meanwhile, bacterial isolates were identified based on gene sequence and phylogenetic analyses.

FINDINGS: Four bacterial isolates were isolated from low-density polyethylene plastic samples. Quantitative analysis found that the low-density polyethylene film experienced weight loss up to 10-15 percent during 35 days of incubation, with a maximum daily weight loss rate of 0.004 milligrams per day, meaning that the four bacterial isolates have the potential to degrade plastic. Meanwhile, qualitative analysis based on Scanning Electron Microscope observations revealed changes in the physical structure of the film surface in the form of a rough surface, formation of holes, and breakdown into clumps across the film surface. Variations in these changes were tested. In the control, no changes occurred and the film surface remained flat and smooth. Conversely, the results of the energy disperse X-ray spectroscopy spectrum analysis showed that the low-density polyethylene film broke down into smaller fragments, characterized by a decrease in mass from 98.51 percent to 98.23 percent. Fourier transform infrared observations showed variations in transmittance and wavenumbers, indicating changes in chemical bonds or functional groups in the low-density polyethylene film which caused it to become brittle and break down into smaller fragments with a lower molecular weight, making it easier for bacteria to digest. The results of the gene sequence analysis identified four bacterial isolates, namely Lysinibacillus sp. IBP-1, Bacillus sp. IBP-2, Bacillus paramycoides IBP-3, and Bacillus cereus IBP-4.

CONCLUSION: All four marine bacterial isolates can use low-density polyethylene as the sole carbon source. Based on quantitative and qualitative analyses, Bacillus paramycoides IBP-3 has the best potential for degrading low-density polyethylene film. This study provides information on potential bacterial isolates that can be developed to control low-density polyethylene plastic waste.
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INTRODUCTION

Plastic is a synthetic polymer composed of long carbon chains with stable chemical bonds. It is lightweight, resistant to moisture and certain chemicals, flexible, malleable, and hydrophobic (Sekhar et al., 2016). Due to its practical nature, plastic has become an important part of human life with its widespread use, automatically affecting plastic production (Danso et al., 2019). World plastic production reaches 335 million tons per year (mt/y) (Gupta and Devi, 2019). According to data from the Director General of Chemical, Pharmaceutical, and Textile Industries of the Ministry of Industry, Indonesia’s plastic production target in 2020-2024 is estimated to reach 6.85-10.03 million tons, with consumption of around 25-40 kilograms per capita per year (kg/capita/year) (IKFT-KP, 2019). However, the use of plastic without proper management accelerates the rate of plastic waste generation in the environment. Based on the National Waste Management Information System of the Ministry of Environment and Forestry of the Republic of Indonesia, Indonesia generates 12.83 million tons of waste per year, with a plastic waste composition of 19.11 percent (%) (SIPSN KLHK, 2023). Commonly used plastic waste management methods include incineration (12%) and recycling (9%), while the remaining 79% accumulates in the environment (Khandare et al., 2022; Geyer et al., 2017). Nonetheless, incineration results in air pollution as it releases harmful gases such as carbon monoxide, furans, dioxins, sulfur dioxide, nitrous oxide, and carbon dioxide in the air, thus causing respiratory and immune disorders (Gangwar et al., 2019; Cheng et al., 2020). Furthermore, around 32% of plastic waste accumulated in landfills ends up in the ocean (Delacuvellerie et al., 2019). Low-density polyethylene (LDPE) is one of the most widely used types of plastic in the world and is most commonly found in the ocean (Pinto et al., 2022). LDPE has a higher molecular weight, so it takes a long time to decompose in the environment (Gupta and Devi, 2020; Li et al., 2020; Raddadi and Fava, 2019). The presence of LDPE in the marine environment can cause marine pollution, endanger marine animals, disrupt the ecological balance, and damage marine ecosystems (Varó et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2021). Several studies have reported solutions for degrading LDPE plastic waste using bacteria which are considered more effective and environmentally friendly (Taghavi et al., 2021; Asiandu et al., 2021). Among these methods is the potential use of Bacillus cereus NJD 1 (strain code) isolated from landfills to degrade LDPE with a weight loss percentage (W%) of 43 for 120 days (Jayan et al., 2023). A study of Marinobacter sp H-244, Marinobacter sp H-246, and Bacillus subtilis H-248 found that these three identified marine bacterial isolates can degrade LDPE film, with a maximum W% of up to 1.68 within 90 days of degradation by Marinobacter sp H-246 (Khandare et al., 2022). In addition, another previous study reported that three of the six tested marine bacterial isolates were able to degrade LDPE film after incubation for 30 days, namely Kocuria palustris M16, Bacillus pumilus M27, and Bacillus subtilis H1584, with W% of 1%, 1.5%, and 1.75%, respectively (Sangeetha Devi et al., 2019).

Based on the above explanation, the development of a microbial-based plastic waste management method is a wise and environmentally friendly option. In recent decades, studies on the biodegradation of plastic waste have gained great popularity and have been widely conducted. Bacteria that have been proven to be able to degrade various types of plastics, such as PE, LDPE, and others, include Bacillus, Rhodococcus, Chelatococcus, Comamonas, Pseudomonas, Paenibacillus, and Ideonella isolated from various polluted locations. These bacteria can degrade plastics by producing diverse extracellular enzymes, such as esterase, protease, glycoside, and hydrolases (Yuan et al., 2020). Enzymatic degradation does not harm the environment because it works on specific substrates, thus being considered better, more environmentally friendly, and safer (Roohi et al., 2017). So far, information regarding the degradation of LDPE plastic, both physically and biologically, by marine microorganisms is still limited. Therefore, it is crucial to conduct a study on this topic to contribute to the existing literature. This study aims to discover new bacterial isolates from LDPE plastic waste floating in the ocean in Indonesia. Marine bacteria were chosen as they are more tolerant of various physical and chemical environmental conditions, with high variability. In addition, marine bacteria are more adaptive to exposure to plastic waste accumulating in the ocean. In this study, marine bacterial isolates that have the potential to act as biodegradation agents were tested quantitatively and qualitatively in the laboratory to be further developed and widely used for environmental bioremediation of LDPE plastic.
contamination. This study was conducted in coastal Padang City, West Sumatra, Indonesia in 2023.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling and isolation of marine bacteria for LDPE degradation

In this study, seawater and LDPE plastic sediment samples were taken from two stations (S1 and S2), namely Purus Beach (0°55’57.8”S 100°35’00.1”E) and Padang Beach (0°56’01.3”S 100°21’00.9”E) located on the coast of Padang City, West Sumatra, Indonesia, as shown in Fig. 1. The description of sampling stations is as follows: Purus Beach (S1) is a tourist attraction which is the estuary where the Bandar Purus River meets the Padang Beach. Meanwhile, Padang Beach (S2) is the center of Padang City beach tourism activities which is visited by many domestic and foreign tourists. Samples were taken in April 2023, during the dry season in the region. Samples were taken from sea depths of 0-30 cm and stored in a cool box for further analysis.

Marine bacteria were isolated using media in grams per liter (g/L) consisting of 1 g/L LDPE powder, 0.05 g/L peptone, 15 g/L bacto agar, and 3.5% NaCl. Isolation was performed using a serial dilution technique and inoculation with a pour plate, and incubation was carried out at room temperature, approximately 25 degrees Celsius (25°C). Morphologically distinct colonies were purified on streak plates to obtain pure isolates (Khandare et al., 2022). The flowchart of the complete stages of this study procedure can be seen in Fig. 2.

Preparation of LDPE film biodegradation by marine bacterial isolates for quantitative and qualitative analyses

LDPE plastic was collected from the sea with a size of about 10 x 15 cm. Then, the LDPE plastic was prepared for testing by reducing its size to 1x1 square centimeter (cm²) and sterilizing it using a washing solution of 7 milliliters (mL) tween 80, 10 mL bleach, and 983 mL distilled water for one hour. After that, the LDPE film was rinsed with sterile distilled water 2-3 times to remove any remaining washing solution. The LDPE film was surface sterilized with 70% isopropanol and aseptically transferred into a sterile petri dish to dry overnight (Khandare et al., 2022; Sudhakar et al., 2008).

Biodegradation of LDPE film by marine bacterial isolates

Sterile LDPE film that has been previously weighed as initial weight data was aseptically inserted into Bushnell Haas medium with the following

Fig. 1: Geographic location of the study area for marine plastic sampling at Padang Beach, Indonesia
composition (g/L): 1.0 ammonium nitrate (NH₄NO₃), 0.2 magnesium sulfate heptahydrate (MgSO₄·7H₂O), 1.0 dipotassium phosphate (K₂HPO₄), 0.1 calcium chloride dihydrate (CaCl₂·2H₂O), and 0.15 potassium chloride (KCl). Then, 3.5% NaCl was added to the medium. Each marine bacterial isolate was inoculated separately at 10% volume per volume (v/v). The inoculum density was adjusted to 1.5 x 10⁶ colony-forming units per milliliter (cfu/mL), and a control study was carried out without adding inoculum. The study was conducted in 3 replicates, incubated for 35 days on a shaker with a rotation speed of 120 per minute (120 rpm) at room temperature (Khandare et al., 2022).

Harvesting of LDPE film after biodegradation
After the biodegradation process, the LDPE film was removed and rinsed with 2% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) solution to remove the residual cells and medium. Then, the LDPE film was rinsed with sterile distilled water three times and dried overnight. The LDPE film was weighed to obtain its final weight after biodegradation for the quantitative and qualitative analyses (Harshvardhan and Jha, 2013; Sudhakar et al., 2008).

Quantitative analysis of the ability of marine bacterial isolates to degrade LDPE film
The ability of marine bacterial isolates to degrade LDPE film was analyzed quantitatively based on the weight loss (%W) of the LDPE film after the biodegradation process calculated using Eq. 1 (Khandare et al., 2022).

\[
\text{Weight loss (\%)} = \left[ \frac{(Iw - Fw)}{Iw} \right] \times 100 \quad (1)
\]

where:
Iw = Initial weight of LDPE film before the degradation process
Fw = Final weight of LDPE film after degradation

Qualitative analysis of the ability of marine bacterial isolates to degrade LDPE film
During the degradation process, marine bacterial isolates form biofilms on the surface of the LDPE film, resulting in changes in its physical and chemical structures. In this study, the qualitative analysis of LDPE plastic degradation by marine bacterial isolates with the identification of LDPE plastic functional groups applied the FTIR method. The frequency range of the spectrum was observed at a wavelength...
per centimeter of 4000/cm–500/cm (Deswati et al., 2023a; Deswati et al., 2023b; Khandare et al., 2022). After the biodegradation process, a qualitative analysis of the morphology of the LDPE plastic surface was carried out by coating the LDPE film with a thin layer of gold nanoparticles. SEM was employed to observe the physical structure of the samples in the form of holes or cracks due to bacterial activity on the surface of the LDPE film (Jayan et al., 2023).

**Molecular identification of marine bacterial isolates by analyzing the 16S rRNA gene sequence**

Isolation of genomic deoxyribonucleic acid (gDNA) utilized the GeneJET Genomic DNA Purification Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific, USA), while gene amplification used a Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) machine (Biometra, Germany), KOD One™ PCR Master Mix -Blue-, and a primer pair of 16S rRNA_27F (5' AGA GTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG3') and 16S rRNA_1525R (5' AAGGAGGTGWTC CARCC3') at 35 cycles of PCR (Samimi and Shahriari-Moghadam, 2021). The PCR products were analyzed by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis using GeneRuler 1 kilobase (kb) DNA Ladder (ThermoFisher Scientific, USA). After that, the PCR products were purified, and gene sequencing was performed by a sequencing service provider (1st Base, Singapore) using the Sanger method. Then, the sequencing result in the form of a chromatogram was edited and contigged using the SeqMan™ application. The 16S rRNA gene sequence of each bacterium was BLASTed at the NCBI website (Zhang et al., 2000). A total of 15 BLAST sequence data were taken for alignment using the Clustal W algorithm, phylogenetic tree construction using the Neighbor-joining method, determination of evolutionary distance analyzed using the Kimura 2-parameter method, and determination of genetic distance using the MEGA X program. Furthermore, genetic distances were analyzed using the Pairwise Distances method (Kimura, 1980; Kumar et al., 2018; Saitou and Nei, 1987) and the bootstrap value used was 1000 (Felsenstein, 1985).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Isolation of plastic-degrading microorganisms**

The results of isolation and purification found four marine bacterial isolates that grew in media containing LDPE powder as a selection factor (selective media). Only specific bacterial isolates can live, move, and adapt naturally in the selective medium by producing certain enzymes to use the selective medium as a source of energy (Febria et al., 2023; Qubra et al., 2023). Four bacterial isolates can produce various enzymes to break down the complex bonds of LDPE and use them as a single carbon source to support the life of microorganisms (Delacuvellerie et al., 2019; Jayan et al., 2023). Some isolates may include hydrolase, alkane monooxygenase, rubedoxin reductase, and other enzymes (Roager and Sonnenschein, 2019).

**Biodegradation of LDPE film by marine bacterial isolates**

The result of the LDPE biodegradation process using the four marine bacterial isolates showed that all bacterial isolates grew in Bushnell Haas media containing LDPE film, appearing rather cloudy. In contrast, the control (before the addition of bacterial inoculum) remained clear. This proves that the bacterial isolates can utilize LDPE as the only carbon source. The degradation ability of the four bacterial isolates was quantitatively analyzed based on the weight loss percentage of the LDPE film; the average weight loss of the LDPE film reached 3.4-3.6 milligrams (mg) or about 10-15% during 35 days of incubation, with a daily weight loss rate of 0.004 mg/day. Of the four bacterial isolates, IBP-3 and IBP-4 quantitatively have the best ability, with a maximum weight loss of 15% (Fig. 3). Conversely, the control showed no weight loss.

The weight loss is caused by a degradation process by bacterial isolates which enzymatically break the bonds of the LDPE film and use it as a sole carbon source. LDPE bond-breaking enzymes include laccase, lipase, and esterase (Jayan et al., 2023; Khandare et al., 2022). The best order of isolate ability in degrading LDPE film is IBP-3>IBP-4>IBP-1>IBP-2. Table 1 shows a comparison of the ability of bacterial isolates to degrade LDPE plastic based on the weight loss percentage of the LDPE film.

In this study, the four marine bacterial isolates showed good weight loss at 35 days (5 weeks) of incubation, compared to the results of several previous studies (Table 1). While this result is the best discovery of this study, further studies are needed to obtain the maximum W% and incubation time of the four bacterial isolates in the LDPE degradation process. The four bacterial isolates have great
Fig. 3: Weight loss percentage of LDPE film degraded by marine bacterial isolates after biodegradation process for 35 days

Table 1: Comparison of the ability of bacterial isolates to degrade LDPE plastic based on weight loss percentage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolates</th>
<th>Sources</th>
<th>Plastic type and size</th>
<th>Weight loss (%)</th>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Kocuria palustris</em> M16, <em>Bacillus</em></td>
<td>Sea water samples</td>
<td>LDPE</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Harshwardhan and Jha, 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>pumilus</em> M27, <em>Bacillus subtilis</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1584</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</em> (BSM-1)</td>
<td>Municipal solid soil</td>
<td>LDPE 1.5x1.5cm</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Das and Kumar, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</em> (BSM-2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Stenotrophomonas</em> sp., <em>Serratia</em></td>
<td>Solid waste-dumping sites</td>
<td>LDPE 10 mg</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Nadeem et al., 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>sp.</em>, and <em>Pseudomonas</em> sp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARR1 bacteria</td>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>LDPE 3x3 cm</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Rani et al., 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bacillus cereus</em></td>
<td>Mangrove sediment</td>
<td>PE PET PS</td>
<td>1.6 3.6 7.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bacillus</em> sp. strain 27</td>
<td>Mangrove sediment</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Auta et al., 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rhodococcus</em> sp. strain 36</td>
<td>Mangrove sediment</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Alcanivorax borkumensis</em></td>
<td>Marine</td>
<td>LDPE 1.5 x 1.2 cm</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Delacuellerie et al., 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bacillus</em> sp.</td>
<td>Marine</td>
<td>LDPE 1.5 x 1 cm</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Kumari et al., 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolate IBP-1</td>
<td>Marine plastic waste</td>
<td>LDPE 1 x 1 cm</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolate IBP-2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolate IBP-3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolate IBP-4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The current study
potential as a biodegradation agent in reducing LDPE plastic waste.

Qualitative analysis of the ability of marine bacterial isolates to degrade LDPE film

The ability of the isolates to degrade LDPE plastic was confirmed through qualitative analysis of the physical and morphological changes that occurred on the surface of LDPE plastic, which were visualized using high-resolution SEM (Fig. 4).

SEM analysis aims to determine the morphology of the sample’s surface (Putra et al., 2022). As seen in Fig. 4, there are morphological changes on the surface of LDPE plastic before and after degradation.

Fig. 4: SEM morphology image of LDPE plastic biodegradation: a) Control (before biodegradation), b) IBP-1, c) IBP-2, d) IBP-3, and e) IBP-4 (after biodegradation)
Degradation of low-density polyethylene

Before degradation, the LDPE plastic has a smooth surface (Fig. 4a). However, after exposure to bacteria, damages and irregularities occur on the surface, indicating a biodegradation process by enzymes. Variations in the degradation results from different types of bacteria can be observed in the resulting images. As presented in Fig. 4b, the polymers that make up the LDPE plastic break into fragments. Fig. 4c displays the rough and pitted surface of LDPE plastic, while Fig. 4d shows the LDPE polymer breakdown into large clumps. Lastly, Fig. 4e shows the evenly distributed clumps on the surface.

Fig. 5: EDX spectra of LDPE plastic biodegradation: a) Control (before biodegradation), b) IBP-1, c) IBP-2, d) IBP-3, and e) IBP-4 (after biodegradation)
of LDPE plastic. Similar findings have been reported in several prior studies (Asiandu et al., 2020; Gan and Zhang, 2019; Kim et al., 2021; Urbanek et al., 2018).

Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectroscopy is an analytical technique that uses SEM to analyze the elemental composition of observed samples. Based on study data and EDX spectra in Fig. 5, the final result of the LDPE plastic degradation process shows a decrease in %W from 98.51% (Control) to 98.23% (Fig. 5d). This proves that the biodegradation process converts the LDPE plastic polymer into smaller fragments which are eventually oxidized to CO$_2$ and H$_2$O. These smaller fragments have a lighter mass than the original plastic polymer, thus causing a decrease in plastic mass (Amobonye et al., 2021; Sarkhel et al., 2020). Based on existing data, the optimality of several types of bacteria used can be sorted as follows: IBP-3>IBP-4>IBP-1>IBP-2. Of the four bacterial isolates, IBP-4 can degrade LDPE plastic more optimally, as seen in Figs. 4 and 5.

FTIR is used to identify a compound based on the wavenumber of the pure compound from its functional groups (Deswati et al., 2023c; Syamsu et al., 2024; Samimi, 2024). Fig. 6 shows that the samples degraded by potential bacterial isolates for five weeks experience visible changes in the spectrum, wavenumbers, and transmittance compared to LDPE before degradation. This signifies changes in chemical bonds or functional groups in LDPE plastic due to interactions with bacteria or degradation products (Khandare et al., 2021; Abraham et al., 2016; Rajandas et al., 2012), among which are wavenumbers 835.31-3615.35/cm, 2849.03/cm, 1972/cm, 1463.89/cm, 1367.94/cm (C-H), 1049.38/cm (C-O), and 2032.01/cm, 1641.42/cm (C=C). The changes in the wavenumbers of LDPE plastic biodegradation are displayed in Table 2. According to Webb et al., (2013), microorganisms that degrade plastic waste convert carbon in polymer chains into carbon dioxide or incorporate it into biomolecules. The biodegradation process causes plastic waste to become brittle and break down into smaller fragments until the polymer chains in the plastic waste have a molecular weight low enough to be metabolized by microorganisms. This aligns with the EDX analysis data in Fig. 5, where the W% of the control (before degradation) decreases, compared to W% after degradation. In addition, the samples also experience deletion or loss of frequencies. For example, the missing frequency in LDPE plastic is the wavenumber 2032.01/cm, a type of C-H rock vibration from the C=C bond. All missing chemical bonds contain carbon, nitrogen, hydrogen, and oxygen compounds. This is in line with a statement by Yuan et al. (2020) that the reduction or addition of hydroxyl groups indicates that monoxygenase enzyme activity has occurred. Nevertheless, initiating polymer chain cleavage is the longest and most challenging step in the degradation process. Thus, a long incubation time is required to produce enough carbonyl groups (C=O) to proceed with the degradation process.

**Mechanism of plastic biodegradation**

Bacteria can degrade LDPE plastic through
biodegradation. In this regard, LDPE is utilized by bacteria as a source of carbon and energy. Based on study data and characterization, the main stages of the LDPE plastic biodegradation process by bacteria are as follows: 1) Bacterial attachment: bacterial isolates attach to the surface of LDPE plastic (Fig. 6); 2) Extracellular enzyme development: bacterial isolates produce extracellular enzymes that attach to the LDPE plastic polymer (Fig. 7); 3) LDPE polymer breakdown: the extracellular enzymes create smaller fragments of LDPE plastic (Fig. 4); 4) Carbon oxidation: the smaller fragments are oxidized to carbon dioxide ($CO_2$) and water ($H_2O$); and 5) Plastic weight reduction: the biodegradation process of LDPE plastic leads to a reduction in plastic weight, which can be observed through a decrease in mass loss percentage (Fig. 3) (Ali et al., 2021; Amobonye et al., 2021; Asiandu et al., 2020).

**Identification of marine bacterial isolates based on 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis**

The identification results of the four marine bacterial isolates based on 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis (Samimi and Shahriari Moghadam, 2020) and phylogenetic tree analysis can be seen in Fig. 8.

The position of Isolate IBP-1 in cluster B of the phylogenetic tree is adjacent to *Lysinibacillus* sp. WTXJ1-4 (KP150574.1). Isolate IBP-2 is adjacent to *Bacillus* sp. VZ1M (JQ618102.1). Isolate IBP-4 is adjacent to *Bacillus paramycoide* strain Alaa5 (OM984660.1), while Isolate IBP5 is adjacent to *Bacillus cereus* strain fg33 (ON715736.1). Based
Fig. 8: Identification of LDPE-degrading marine bacteria based on 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis and phylogenetic tree analysis using the Neighbor-joining method with a bootstrap value of 1000 replicates.
on the results of BLAST analysis, genetic distance calculation, and phylogenetic tree construction, the four bacterial isolates are identified as *Lysinibacillus* sp. IBP-1, *Bacillus* sp. IBP-2, *Bacillus paramycoides* IBP-3, and *Bacillus cereus* IBP-4.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Four bacterial isolates are found from isolated marine plastic debris; they grow in media containing LDPE powder as the sole carbon source. These bacteria have the potential to degrade LDPE. Based on the quantitative study using the weighing process and EDX analysis, the four bacterial isolates showed the best ability to degrade LDPE plastic compared to the results of several previous studies. From the quantitative analysis of the biodegradation test during five weeks of incubation, the four isolates were found to experience a weight loss of 3.4-3.6 mg or about 10-15%, with a daily weight loss rate of 0.004 mg/day. EDX data also showed a decrease in LDPE mass from 98.51% (Control) to 98.23%. This proves that biodegradation has converted the LDPE plastic polymer into smaller fragments which are eventually oxidized to CO$_2$ and H$_2$O. Additionally, a qualitative analysis was conducted comprehensively using SEM and FTIR. Changes in the morphology and structure of the plastic surface after degradation were visualized using high-resolution SEM. The surface of the LDPE film was smooth and flat before the degradation process (control). After the biodegradation process by the four bacteria, damages occurred to the LDPE film as follows: the LDPE film broke into several parts (IBP-1), the surface of the LDPE film became rough and pitted (IBP-2), the LDPE film decomposed into large clumps (IBP-3), and evenly-distributed clumps formed on the surface of the LDPE film (IBP-4). Furthermore, the results of the FTIR analysis revealed a change in the wavenumber frequency. Changes in morphology, surface structure, and wavenumbers indicate the activity and performance of bacterial extracellular enzymes in degrading LDPE. Overall, the results of the quantitative and qualitative analyses are interrelated in explaining the biodegradation process of LDPE film by bacteria. Based on both analyses, the four bacterial isolates found in this study are found to be potential LDPE plastic degraders. From the identification, three of the four bacterial isolates were >90% identified as *Lysinibacillus* sp. IBP-1, *Bacillus paramycoides* IBP-3, and *Bacillus cereus* IBP-4, whereas IBP-2 showed a percent identity of only 78.56-83.85% (<90%). This also signifies that IBP-2 is a new strain and species in the genus *Bacillus*. In this study, the four isolates showed the discovery of new strains with the best order of ability to degrade LDPE film polymer (IBP-3>IBP-4>IBP-1>IBP-2). The results of this study can be further developed as an alternative method for LDPE plastic degradation to reduce plastic waste pollution in the future. Therefore, future studies...
are highly recommended to involve single isolates and consortia, optimization of the number of bacterial inoculums and environmental factors (incubation time, salinity, and other factors), and examination of the degradation mechanism and enzymes involved in the LDPE degradation process.
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**ABBREVIATIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>Percentage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>°C</td>
<td>Degree Celsius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFM</td>
<td>Atomic force microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATR-FTIR</td>
<td>Attenuated Total Reflectance-Fourier Transform Infra-Red Spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacillus cereus</td>
<td>NJD 1 strain code bacteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NJD 1</td>
<td>Bacillus cereus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacillus subtilis H-248</td>
<td>H-248 strain code bacteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-248</td>
<td>Marinobacter sp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>Deoxyribonucleic Acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDX</td>
<td>Energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTIR</td>
<td>Fourier Transform Infra-Red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fw</td>
<td>Final weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g/L</td>
<td>Gram per liter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCMS</td>
<td>Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$O</td>
<td>Dihydrogen oxide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**REFERENCES**


IKFT-KP, (2019.) Director General of Chemical, Pharmaceutical, and Textile Industries Ministry of Industry of Indonesia. [In Indonesia].


SIPSN KLHK, (2023). National waste generation and waste composition data. [In Indonesia].


 DOI: 10.22034/gjesm.2024.02.24
 URL: https://www.gjesm.net/article_709798.html